

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



CM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/797,770	02/07/1997		ANDREW D. BAROFSKY	4430-18	1692
20575	7590	05/28/2003			
		N & MCCOLLO	EXAMINER		
1030 SW MO					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	45

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	7	Applicant(s)	7
08/797,770	٧.,٠	BAROFSKY ET A	L.
Examiner		Art Unit	
Paul B. Prebilic		3738	
			·

(2

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>24 March 2003</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1417	HAT BE GRANTED STADER OF STR. 1.100.					
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).					
3. 🔲	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).					
4. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).					
6. 🗀	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and					
(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.					
(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.					
7. 🗆	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).					
в. 🗀	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).					
9. 🗆	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):					
	There is no section labeled "Real Party In Interest" and the relevant information as required. In addition, there is no section labeled "Related Appeals and Interferences" and the relevant information thereunder. The "Summary of the Invention" does not refer to the appeals and interferences and line number or required.					

There is no section labeled "Real Party In Interest" and the relevant information as required. In addition, there is no section labeled "Related Appeals and Interferences" and the relevant information thereunder. The "Summary of the Invention" does not refer to the specification by page and line number as required. Finally, upon review of the arguments, Appellants referenced certain log book entries; see page 15 of the Brief filed March 24, 2003. However, upon review of the declarations of record, no such information could be found. The Examiner is fairly certain that these log book entry copies were never part of the record, and the Examiner only came aware of them by Appellants' new arguments in the present Appeal Brief. For this reason, Appellants are respectfully requested to provide a complete copy of the reference declaration or affidavit in response to this communication.

Paul B. Prebilic Primary Examiner